UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

District of New Mexico

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Judgment in a Criminal Case

ANTONIO CATANO-DOMINGUEZ

(For Offenses Committed On or After November 1, 1987)

Case Number: 1:15CR03204-001JB

USM Number: 27795-051

Defense Attorney: Val Whitley, Appointed

THI	E DEFENDANT:		•						
	pleaded guilty to count(s) Information pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) which was accepted by the court. after a plea of not guilty was found guilty on count(s)								
The	The defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offenses:								
Title	and Section	Nature of Offense		Offense Ended	Count Number(s)				
8 U.	S.C. Sec. 1326(a)/(b)	Reentry of a Removed Alien		07/11/2015					
	The defendant is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 3 of this judgment. The sentence is imposed pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984.								
☐ The defendant has been found not guilty on count . ☐ Count dismissed on the motion of the United States.									
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, residence, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant must notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.									
October 23, 2015									
			Date of Imposition of	Judgment					
			/s/ James O. Browning						
			Signature of Judge						
			Honorable James (United States Distr	U					
			Name and Title of Jud	ge					
			November 5, 2015 Date Signed		_				

Defendant: ANTONIO CATANO-DOMINGUEZ

Case Number: 1:15CR03204-001JB

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 5 months.

The Court recommends that Immigration and Customs Enforcement begin removal proceedings during service of sentence.

Pursuant to section 5D1.1(c), the Court will not impose a term of supervised release.

The Court has considered the Guidelines and, in arriving at its sentence, has taken account of the Guidelines with other sentencing goals. Specifically, the Court has considered the Guidelines' sentencing range established for the applicable category of offense committed by the applicable category of Defendant. The Court believes that the Guidelines' punishment is appropriate for this sort of offense. Therefore, the sentence in this judgment is consistent with a guideline sentence. The Court has considered the kind of sentence and range established by the Guidelines. The Court believes that a sentence of 5 months reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence, protects the public, avoids unwarranted sentencing disparities among similarly situated defendants, effectively provides the Defendant with needed education or vocational training and medical care, and otherwise fully reflects each of the factors embodied in 18 U.S.C. Section 3553(a). The Court also believes the sentence is reasonable. The Court believes the sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to comply with the purposes set forth in the Sentencing Reform Act.

☑ The court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons:

The Court recommends Torrance County Detention Center, Estancia, New Mexico, if eligible.

\boxtimes	The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal.								
	The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district:								
		at on							
		as notified by the United States Marshal.							
☐ The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons:									
		before 2 p.m. on							
		as notified by	he United States Marshal.						
		as notified by	he Probation or Pretrial Services Office.						
			RETURN						
I hav	ve ex	ecuted this judgi	nent as follows:						
Defendant delivered on				to					
			at						
				UNITED STATES MARSHAL					
				Ву					

DEPUTY UNITED STATES MARSHAL

Judgment - Page 3 of 3

Defendant: ANTONIO CATANO-DOMINGUEZ

Case Number: 1:15CR03204-001JB

CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defe	endant must pay the follow	ving total criminal monetary penalti	es in accordance with the sche	edule of payments.
		defendant's Special Penalty Assessi		• •
Totals:	,	Assessment \$waived	Fine \$0.00	Restitution \$0.00
		SCHEDULE OF	PAYMENTS	
(6) pena	lties.	ollowing order (1) assessment; (2) re		4) cost of prosecution; (5) interest
		criminal monetary penalties shall be or all payments previously made to		enalties imposed.
A 🗆	In full immediately; or			
В	\$ immediately, balance	due (see special instructions regardi	ing payment of criminal mone	tary penalties).
payable New Mo	by cashier's check, bank	ne payment of criminal monetary s or postal money order to the U.S vise noted by the court. Payments	5. District Court Clerk, 333 I	Lomas Blvd. NW, Albuquerque

Unless the court has expressly ordered otherwise in the special instructions above, if this judgment imposes a period of imprisonment, payment of criminal monetary penalties shall be due during the period of imprisonment. All criminal monetary penalty payments, except those payments made through the Bureau of Prisons' Inmate Financial Responsibility Program, are to be made as directed by the court, the probation officer, or the United States attorney.